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Overview: Emotion Recognition

Why do we need to recognize emotions?
- Emotions are an important element of human-human interaction
- Design improved human-machine interfaces
- Give specific and appropriate help to user based on emotional state assessment

How can we recognize emotions from human communication cues?
- From speech, facial expression, gesture, head movement, etc.
- Computer can use same inputs.

Why is it necessary to use a multimodal approach?
- Modalities give complementary information [Chen98]
- Some emotions are better recognized by speech (sadness and fear) while others by facial expression (anger and happiness) [DeSilva97]
- Better performance and more robustness [Pantic03]
Overview: Emotion Recognition (2)

Previous Work
- Decision-level fusion systems (rule-based system) [Chen98] [DeSilva00] [Yoshitomi00]
- Feature-level fusion systems [Chen98_2] [Huang98]

Purpose of this project
- Analyze the strength and limitation of unimodal systems to recognize emotion states
- Evaluate two fusion approaches, in terms of the performance of the system
Methodology

Database

- Four emotions are targeted, single subject
  - Neutral state
  - Anger
  - Sadness
  - Happiness

- 102 Markers to track facial expressions

- Facial motion and speech are simultaneously captured

- Phoneme balanced corpus (258 sentences)
Methodology (2)

Recognition Systems

- Three recognition systems based on:
  - Speech features
  - Facial motion features
  - Multimodal data features
- Support vector machine classifier (SVC) is used in all the systems

Features from Speech

- Global-level prosodic features
  - Pitch and energy statistic (mean, median, std, max, min and range)
  - Voiced speech and Unvoiced speech ratio
- Sequential backward features selection (11-D feature vector)
Methodology (3)

Features from Facial Expression

- 4-D feature vector
  - Data is normalized to remove head motion
  - Five facial areas are defined
  - 3-D coordinates are concatenated
  - PCA is used to reduce to 10-D vector
  - The points are clustered (K-nearest neighbor)
  - The statistic of the frame at utterance level is used as 4-D feature vector

![Diagram of facial areas and preprocessing steps]
Methodology (4)

Multimodal techniques

- Decision-level integration
  - Maximum of the posterior probabilities
  - Average of the posterior probabilities
  - Product of the posterior probabilities
  - Weight of the posterior probabilities

- Feature-level integration
  - Sequential backward feature selection (10-D feature vector)
Experiments and Results

Unimodal Systems

- Emotion recognition system based on speech (70.9%)
  - Confusion sadness-neutral 22%
  - Confusion neutral-sadness 14%
  - Confusion happiness-anger 19%
  - Confusion anger-happiness 21% (A2)
  - Neutral-happiness and anger-sadness are well separated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Anger</th>
<th>Sadness</th>
<th>Happiness</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anger</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sadness</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Happiness</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Emotion recognition system based on facial expression (85.1%) (A3)
  - Happiness is recognized with high precision (M2)
  - Confusion anger-sadness 18%
  - Confusion sadness-neutral 15%
  - Confusion neutral-happiness 15%
  - Anger-happiness are well separated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Anger</th>
<th>Sadness</th>
<th>Happiness</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anger</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sadness</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Happiness</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Experiments and Results (2)

Multimodal Systems

• Feature-level integration (89%)
  - High performance of anger, happiness and neutral state
  - Bad performance of sadness 79%
  - The performance of happiness decreased

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anger</th>
<th>Sadness</th>
<th>Happiness</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Decision-level integration (89%)
  - Product of the posterior probabilities was the best criterion
  - Product criterion: Same results, big differences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall</th>
<th>Anger</th>
<th>Sadness</th>
<th>Happiness</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum combining</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Averaging combining</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product combining</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight combining</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall</th>
<th>Anger</th>
<th>Sadness</th>
<th>Happiness</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anger</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sadness</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Happiness</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion and future work

Discussion

• The multimodal systems give an improvement of 5% (absolute) compared to unimodal systems

• Some pair of emotions confused in one modality are easily separated in the other modality (E&R)
  
  ➢ Sadness-Anger
  ➢ Neutral-Happiness
  ➢ Anger-Happiness

• Sadness and neutral are confused in both domains
  
  ➢ Feature-level integration systems cannot separate them accurately
  ➢ Decision-level integration systems maybe (in our experiments, yes) (E&R2)

• Feature and decision-level integration systems
  
  ➢ Similar overall results
  ➢ Analysis in detail show big differences
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Discussion (contd.)

- Although the system based on speech has worse performance than the system based on facial expression, the acoustic features provide valuable information about emotions
  - Note that visual features were directly obtained from marker tracking and not video: feature extraction from video may introduce challenges
  - Although the use of facial markers are not suitable for real applications, the analysis presented in this paper give important clues about emotion discrimination \(^{(A3)}\)

- Redundant information provided by modalities can be used to improve the performance of the emotion recognition system when the features of one of the modal are inaccurately acquired (e.g. beard, mustache, eyeglasses and noise)
Discussion and future work (3)

Future Work

• Collect more emotional data from other speakers

• Use visual algorithms to extract facial expression features from video

• Find better methods to fuse audio-visual information that model the dynamics of facial expressions and speech

• Segmental level acoustic information can be used to trace the emotions at a frame level

• Find “multimodal” features
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Why is the data preprocess?

Fusion techniques

- Global features are needed
- To take advantage of characteristic patterns of the frame data statistics
Why are these emotions confused?

Speech Analysis

• Results agree with human evaluations [DeSilva97]

• Speech associated with anger and happiness is characterized by
  ➢ longer utterance duration
  ➢ shorter inter-word silence
  ➢ higher pitch and energy values with wider ranges

• Speech associated with neutral and sad sentences
  ➢ Energy and the pitch are usually maintained at the same level.
Experiment and Results (ext)

Performance of Facial Expression Classifier by facial area

- Eyebrow give worse performance
- More experiments should be conducted to evaluate these results with other subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Overall</th>
<th>Anger</th>
<th>Sadness</th>
<th>Hapiness</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forehead</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eyebrow</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low eye</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right cheek</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left cheek</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined classifier</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>